

## The LUNA Corpus: an annotation scheme for a multilingual multidomain dialogue corpus



PROGRAMME



Technologies

#### Kepa Joseba Rodriguez

#### **Piedmont Consortium for Information Systems**





Potsdam, 6 June 2007

### Outline

### • The LUNA project

- Consortium
- Goals
- Modules of the SLU toolkit

### The LUNA corpus

- Function of the corpus in the project
- Description
- Historical background
- Levels of annotation



### LUNA

# Spoken Language UNderstanding in MultilinguAl Communication Systems.

www.ist-luna.eu

### The LUNA consortium

- Piedmont Consortium for Information Systems (CSI-Piemonte, IT)
- University of Trento (IT)
- Loquendo (IT)
- University of Avignon (FR)
- France Telecom (FR)
- RWTH University Aachen (DE)
- Polish-Japanese Institute of Information Technology (PL)
- Polish Academy of Sciences (PL)

### **Goals of the project**

 The focus of the LUNA project is the real time understanding of spontaneous speech in dialogue systems.

#### • Three steps are considered for the SLU interpretation process:

- Generation of semantic concept tags.
- Composition into conceptual structures.
- Context sensitive validation using information provided by the dialogue manager.
- The SLU models will be applied to different conversational systems in Italian, French and Polish.

### Modules of the SLU toolkit



### **The Word Lattice Annotation Module**



#### Input: LUNA lattice

- word lattice produced by the ASR enriched with context information coming from the dialogue manager.
- Output: concept lattice
  - LUNA lattice annotated with semantic concepts.
- Semantic concepts: basic units of meaning in each application domain.

### **The Semantic Composition Module**



- Input: concept lattice (with dialogue context) output by the previous module.
- Output: lattice of semantic structures representing all the possible interpretations of the utterance.
- Kind of models involved in the module:
  - Semantic composition model: builds a set of all the possible interpretations of the utterance.
  - Semantic confidence score model: adds a confidence score to each hypothesis of the lattice.
  - Decision module: re-evaluates the interpretations following an interpretation strategy

### **The Context Sensitive Validation Module**



- Contextual information can introduce several modifications:
  - Specification of concepts: dialogue context can desambiguate concepts detected in the WLA module.
  - Specification of interpretations: e.g. resolution of referring expressions.
  - Rescoring of the interpretation lattice.
- The input/output format of the module is identical to the output format of the previous module.

### **Outline**

- Function of the corpus in the LUNA project
- Description
- Background: the MEDIA evaluation project
- The multi-level annotation scheme

### **Function of the corpus**

#### • Training of the modules of the SLU toolkit.

- Statistical models of understanding.
- Statistical models of dialogue.
- Evaluation of the modules of the SLU toolkit.
  - Different evaluation metrics.
- Ressource for other tasks like retraining of ASR and NLP tools.

### **Description of the corpus**

#### Target: collection and annotation of

- 3000 Human-Human and
- 8100 Human-Machine dialogues
- in French, Italian and Polish.

#### • French subcorpus:

- Application domains: travel information and reservation, IT help desk, telecom costumer care and financial information transaction
- Human-Machine dialogues: 7100

#### Italian subcorpus:

- Application domain: IT helpdesk
- Human-Human dialogues: 2500
- WOZ dialogues: 500

#### • Polish subcorpus:

- Application domain: public transportation information
- Human-Human dialogues: 500
- WOZ dialogues: 500

### Historical background: the MEDIA evaluation project.

#### • Annotation of semantic segment as tuplet:

- Mode: positive, negative, interrogative, if-possible.
- Attribute: name of the concept.
- Value
- Link: pointer to related segments.
- Comment on the segment.

#### MEDIA proposes a taxonomy of dialogue acts.

#### **Example of MEDIA annotation**

#### U: un hôtel / à toulouse / avec piscine si possible

(a hotel in toulouse with swimming pool if possible )

- 1: +/objectBD : hotel
- 2: +/localisation-ville-hotel : toulouse
- 3: ~/hotel-services : piscine

#### U: cet / hôtel / doit avoir un billard

(the hotel must have a billiard hall)

- 4: +/lienRef-coRef : singulier
- 5: +/objectBD : hotel
- 6: +/hotel-services : billard

#### S: je vous propose l'hôtel lafayette

(I propose you the hotel Lafayette)

7: +/nom-hotel : lafayette

reference =  $\{(1,2,3)\}$ 

#### • Critique:

- Compact format: information at different levels is put together
- The definition of the attribute mode.
  - Affirmative/Negative belongs to the semantic of the sentence
  - Interrogative belongs to the communicative level / dialogue acts
  - If-possible signalizes only the importance of a parameter.

#### • Proposal:

- A modular approach splitting the annotated information in different levels.
- The annotation levels should correspond to the modules of the toolkit.

#### • Advantages:

- Easier for the annotators.
- Helpful to investigate the interaction between different levels of representation.

- Segmentation of the speech signal
- Word transcription / orthographic annotation
- Morphosyntactic annotation: POS and chunking
- Domain attribute level
- Predicate structure
- Coreference and anaphoric relations
- Dialogue acts

### Segmentation of the speech signal

- Segmentation of the speech signal in dialogue turns.
- The turns will be annotated with speaker identity, gender and time stamps.
- Goal: give the possibility of transcribing segments without a dialogue context.
- Interesting issue to be investigated: how the availability of context can influence the transcription/annotation.

### Word transcription / orthographic annotation

The principal features of the transcription scheme are:

- Spelling: using orthographical standards for each language.
- Capitalization: following the standards of the languages.
  - Initial words of sentences will be capitalized only if they would be capitalized in the middle of the sentence.
- Numbers: spelled out following the standards of each language.
- Punctuation: the transcription doesn't include punctuation marks

#### **Acoustic events:**

#### Lexical events.

- Word truncations.
- Pause fillers, hesitations, human noises.

#### • Foreign words.

#### Pronunciation:

- Spelled words.
- Mispronounciation.
- Unintelligible words.

#### • Noises:

- Non human noises
- Silence: only intra-turn silences longer than 1 sec.

#### **Example transcription**

[Operator:] allora m'ha detto che non riusciva ad accedere al computer [silence] e le manca la procedura [pron=unintelligible]

so, you have told me that you cannot access to the computer, and that you need the procedure

[Caller:] esatto

exactly

[Operator:] allora avrei bisogno dell' [lex=filler] [pron=spelled-] RWS [-pron=spelled] del [pron=spelled-] PC [-pron=spelled] so I need the RWS of the computer

[Caller:] si allora tredici zero ottantasei yes, 13 0 86

### **POS and chunking**

- The annotation on this level is done automatically using available tools for each language.
- Produced chunks can be the basis of the annotation in the next levels.
- For the POS-tags and morphosyntactic features, we follow the recommendations made in EAGLES. That allows us to have a unified representation for the corpus independent from the tools used for each language.

### **Domain attribute level**



- Starting from the output of the chunker we produce semantic segments.
- These segments will be annotated with attribute-value pairs. Similar approach as in MEDIA.
- Domain knowledge is specified in domain ontologies.
- With the ontologies we build domain specific concept dictionaries. Each dictionary contains:
  - <u>Concepts</u>: corresponding to classes of the ontology and attributes of the annotation.
  - Values: corresponding to individuals of the domain.
  - **<u>Constraints</u>** on the admissible values for each concept.

#### **Example domain attribute annotation**

#### [Operator:] allora m'ha detto che [non riusciva]c1 ad [accedere]c2 [al computer]c3 e [le manca]c4 [la procedura]c5

so, you have told me that you cannot access the computer, and that you need the procedure

- cl trouble : unable\_to
- c2 action : access
- c3 computer-hardware : pc
- c4 trouble : lack\_of
- c5 computer-software : procedure

#### [Caller:] esatto exactly

#### [Operator:] allora avrei bisogno [dell' RWS]c6 [del PC]c7

so I need the RWS of the computer c6 concept : code-identificationCode c7 computer-hardware : pc

#### [Caller:] si allora [tredici zero ottantasei]c8

yes, 13086

c8 code-identificationCode-rws : 13086

### **Predicate structure level**



- The corpus will be annotated using a domain adapted version of FrameNet.
- A short overview:
  - Semantic frames: script-like conceptual structure that describes a type of situation, object or event along with its participants. They encode a part of the real-world knowledge in a schematic form.
  - Example of FrameNet:

Frame (CommercialTransaction)

- frame-elements: {buyer, seller, payment, goods}
- scenes (buyer gets goods, seller gets payment)
- Definition of the frames for each domain starting from the domain ontologies.

#### **Example:**

I am XXX from the Region, Health Department. From this morning I cannot access the health application.

frame: access
frame-elements: {user, application, temp}

From this morning I cannot <u>access</u> the health application.

We add the negation as default frame-element for all the frames.

From this morning I cannot access the health application.

#### **Example: annotation of predicate structure**

#### [Operator:] allora m'ha detto che [non riusciva]fe1 ad [accedere]fe2 [al computer]fe3 e le [manca]fe4 [la procedura]fe5

so, you have told me that you cannot access the computer, and that you need the procedure

```
frame : access
frame-elements : {user, hardware}
frame-set :{fe1, fe2, fe3}
    fe id:fe1 f-element: negation
    fe id:fe2 f-element: target
    fe id:fe3 f-element: hardware
frame : need
frame-elements : {user, requirement}
frame-set :{fe4, fe5}
    fe id:fe4 f-element: target
    fe id:fe5 f-element: requirement
```

### **Coreference level**



#### • We annotate different kinds of anaphoric relations like:

- Identity
- Bridging : exploiting the relations and properties of the domain ontologies.
- Set-element
- Annotation scheme close to the one used in the ARRAU (AnaphoRa Resolution And Underspecification) project. http://cswww.essex.ac.uk/Research/nle/arrau
  - Includes instructions for the annotation of associative descriptions.
  - A single interpretation is not required.
- First step is the annotation of information status of the markables. They will be classified in new and old/given.
- If the markable is annotated with given, we add a pointer to the antecedent.

- If the markable is new, we annotate whether it is related to previous markables or not.
- In case of relatedness:
  - the annotator points to the previous introduced markable
  - indicates the type of relation:
    - Set-relation
    - One of the relations and properties defined in the domain ontology.

#### • Plural markables:

- refer to a set of objects already mentioned.
- will be annotated with multiple\_referents.
- the annotator will add a pointer to each of the referents.
- Ambiguity: a markable has two or more interpretations
  - it will be marked as **ambiguous** and
  - the annotator will add a pointer to each of the possible antecedents.

#### **Example: annotation of coreference**

#### [Operator:] allora m'ha detto che non riusciva ad accedere [al computer]c1 e le manca [la procedura]c2

so, you have told me that you cannot access the computer, and that you need the procedure <coref id="c1" info-status="given" ... /> <coref id="c2" info-status="given" ... />

[Caller:] esatto exactly

#### [Operator:] allora avrei bisogno [dell' RWS]c3 [del PC]c4

so I need the RWS of the computer <coref id="c3", info-status="new", related="yes", single-related-phrase="c1", relation="rwsOf" /> <coref id="c4", inf\_status="given", single-phrase-atecedent="c1" />

#### [Caller:] si allora [tredici zero ottantasei]c5

```
yes, 13086
<coref id="c5", info-status="new", related="yes",
single-related-phrase="c3" relation="instanceOf"
/>
```

### **Dialogue acts**



- The segmentation of the dialogue turns in utterances is based on the annotation of the predicate structure.
- Each set of frame elements will correspond to an utterance.
- Additional to these utterances we can define other utterances without semantic content to encode opening/closings, accepts, etc.
- Annotation scheme partially based on the DAMSL
- Tageset of 9 dialogue acts that can be extended for individual application domains.
- Each utterance will be annotated with as many tags as applicable.

### **Initial tagset:**

#### • Forward looking function:

- Statement
- Action-directive/open-option
- Committing-speaker-future-action
- Info-request

#### • Backward looking function:

- Answer
- Accept
- Reject
- Signal-understanding
- Signal-non-understanding

#### **Example: annotation of dialogue acts**

[Operator:] allora m'ha detto che [non riusciva ad accedere al computer]u1 e [le manca la procedura]u2 so, you have told me that you cannot access to the

computer, and that you need the procedure ul: statement, info-request

ul: statement, info-request

u2: statement, info-request

[Caller:] [esatto]u3

exactly

u3: statement, answer

[Operator:] [allora avrei bisogno dell' RWS del PC]u4 so I need the RWS of the computer u4: statement, info-request

#### [Caller:] [si]u5 [allora tredici zero ottantasei]u6

yes, 13086 u5: accept u6: statement, answer

### Summary and next steps

We have talked about:

- The steps of the semantic understanding process in LUNA
- The modules of the SLU toolkit
- The use of a corpus to build and evaluate the modules
- The annotation levels of the LUNA corpus

### Summary and next steps

And the next steps are:

- Here and now.... discuss about the corpus and the scheme.
- Start the annotation of the data.
- Elaborate protocols for the control of quality based in:
  - Statistical mesures of agreement.
  - Machine learning techniques to detect errors.
- Elaborate protocols for the evaluation of the system prototypes.